

GEOMETRIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS AND FOLIATIONS ON QUASI-FUCHSIAN 3-MANIFOLDS

ZHENG HUANG AND BIAO WANG

ABSTRACT. For any quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold M which contains an incompressible closed surface with principal curvatures in the range of $(-1, 1)$, we use method of geometric evolution equations to obtain closed embedded constant mean curvature surfaces on M , and we obtain bounds on these constant mean curvatures in terms of the distances from the initial data to the fixed reference surface in M .

1. INTRODUCTION

Foliations of geometrically interesting hypersurfaces of codimension 1 are important objects in the study of differential geometry and mathematical physics. In particular, fibers of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces are highly desired. We are particularly interested in the situation when the ambient space is three dimensional, and the foliations under the consideration of this paper are of closed surfaces: the foliation of evolving surfaces (from a given smooth embedded surface) and the foliation of constant mean curvature surfaces (or CMC foliation).

The topic of existence and/or uniqueness of CMC foliation in three-manifolds has been extensively studied, and such foliation often reflects the global geometry and topology of the ambient space. Even the existence of an incompressible minimal surface can reveal a lot of structures on the three-manifold (see for example [Rub07, SY79]). In the settings of asymptotically Euclidean space, Huisken-Yau ([HY96]) proved existence of a CMC foliation near infinity. The case of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds was investigated in the works of Rigger ([Rig04]), and Neves-Tian ([NT06, NT07]), and more recently Mazzeo-Pacard ([MP07]) where they showed the existence and uniqueness of a CMC foliation in the ends of any geometrically finite quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold. In [Wan08], the second named author constructed a quasi-Fuchsian manifold which does not admit any CMC foliation.

Throughout this paper, we assume M is a quasi-Fuchsian hyperbolic three-manifold, and our convention of mean curvature is the trace of the second fundamental form, i.e., sum of two principal curvatures. Topologically, it is a product of a closed surface by the real line, i.e., $M = S \times \mathbb{R}$, where we always assume S is a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We call such a closed surface *incompressible* in M if the surface represents the homotopy group of M . We also exclude the situation when M is Fuchsian, in which case, most theorems here are trivial. All surfaces we encounter here will be closed, incompressible, of genus at least 2, unless otherwise stated.

Date: Revised Jan. 8, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C44, Secondary 53A10, 57M05.

For quasi-Fuchsian three-manifolds, we import a convenient notion introduced by Krasnov and Schlenker ([KS07]), the *almost Fuchsian three-manifolds*, i.e., the manifold M which contains a minimal surface whose principal curvatures are in the range of $(-1, 1)$. The almost Fuchsian manifolds form a very special class of quasi-Fuchsian manifolds ([Tau04]), and the dimension of the almost Fuchsian manifolds are of the same as the quasi-Fuchsian space ([Uhl83]). Schoen-Yau ([SY79]) and Sacks-Uhlenbeck ([SU82]) showed the existence of an immersed minimal surface in any quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold M , and Uhlenbeck ([Uhl83]) showed this minimal surface (in the convex core of M) is the unique embedded minimal surface in M when M is almost Fuchsian.

We wish to consider a larger class of hyperbolic three-manifolds and obtain geometrical information from volume preserving mean curvature flows in M .

Definition 1.1. *A closed surface S is called to have **small curvatures** if its principal curvatures are in the range of $(-1, 1)$, and a quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold M **nearly almost Fuchsian** if it contains an incompressible surface of small curvatures.*

Almost minimal surfaces are very special in low dimensional topology ([Rub05]). It is conjectured by Thurston that every complete hyperbolic three-manifold of finite volume has immersed incompressible surface of small curvatures. In M , parallel surfaces defined by equidistant from a given reference surface of small curvatures contains no singularity. This simple fact is of great importance to our work.

The volume preserving mean curvature flow equation generally has the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(x, t) = (h(t) - H(x, t))\nu(x, t) , \\ F(\cdot, 0) = F_0 , \end{cases}$$

where $h(t) = \frac{\int_{S_t} H d\mu}{|S_t|}$ is the average mean curvature of the evolving surface S_t , and all other terms will be made transparent in §2.3.

Theorem 1.2. *If M is nearly almost Fuchsian, i.e., M contains an incompressible surface S with principal curvatures in the range $(-1, 1)$, and $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the equidistant foliation with $S(r)$ hyperbolic distance r from the reference surface $S = S(0)$. Then*

- (i) *each volume preserving mean curvature flow equation (1.1) with initial surface $S_0 = S(r)$ has a long time solution;*
- (ii) *the evolving surfaces $\{S_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ stay smooth and remain as graphs of S for all time;*
- (iii) *For every sequence $\{t_i\} \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $\{t'_i\} \rightarrow \infty$ such that the surfaces $\{S_{t'_i}\}$ converges uniformly in C^∞ to a smooth embedded surface S_∞ of constant mean curvature $c(r)$;*
- (iv) *These constants satisfy $-2|\tanh(r - \beta)| \leq c(r) \leq 2|\tanh(r + \beta)|$, for some constant β only depending on the reference surface S .*

It is understandably different in hyperbolic geometry whether an immersed closed CMC surface has mean curvature between -2 and 2 or otherwise. In particular, part (iv) improves the inequality $|c| < 2$ for these constant mean curvature surface in a nearly almost Fuchsian

manifold M . Moreover, we showed that, for each prescribed constant $c \in (-2, 2)$, there is an embedded incompressible surface of constant mean curvature c ([HW10]).

We prove our theorems via techniques in *volume preserving mean curvature flow* developed by Huisken ([Hui86, Hui87b]). Intuitively, such a flow “averages” the mean curvature function on a surface, and obtain a limiting surface of constant mean curvature. This technique has been developed into a powerful tool in understanding surfaces in Riemannian and semi-Riemannian manifolds (see, for example, [Hui87a, HY96, And02, CRM07], and many others). The evolution under the mean curvature flow of the graphs in hyperbolic space behaves quite well, under mild conditions on the initial data. See, for example, [EH89, Unt03].

Here is a (very) simplified version of the proof of the main Theorem: starting with an arbitrary incompressible surface S in M , we “shift” $S = S(0)$ to obtain a family of smooth surfaces $S(r)$ by the means of equidistant from the initial surface $S(0)$; the principal curvatures of this equidistant surfaces can be controlled explicitly (see §2.2); on each fiber of $S(r)$, apply volume preserving mean curvature flow to obtain a limiting CMC surface.

Our use of the volume preserving mean curvature flow is quite different than what we have also considered ([HW09, HW10]) by using the usual mean curvature flow: here we utilize a parameter family of volume preserving mean curvature flows to obtain surfaces of constant mean curvature simultaneously. We will address the issue of disjointness of these limiting surface in a separate paper.

Acknowledgements: This paper is a project extending parts of the doctoral thesis of the second named author ([Wan09]). He wishes to thank his thesis advisor Bill Thurston for continuous encouragement and generously sharing many key insights. The research of the first named author is partially supported by a PSC-CUNY grant. We also thank Xiaodong Cao, Ren Guo and Zhou Zhang for many stimulating discussions. Both authors are most grateful to anonymous referees to an earlier version of the paper, for their very helpful comments and suggestions.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Quasi-Fuchsian Three Manifolds. A hyperbolic three-manifold M is a complete Riemannian manifold of constant curvature -1 , and we assume M has no boundary. Its universal covering space is $\mathbb{H}^3$, whose isometry group is given by $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. A subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, denoted by Γ , is called a *Kleinian group* if it is a finitely generated and torsion free discrete subgroup. Γ is associated to M if $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$, with $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$. In this paper, we always assume that Γ is *parabolic free*, i.e., it does not contain any parabolic element.

The *limit set* of Γ , denoted by $\Lambda_\Gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, is the smallest closed Γ -invariant subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The open set $\Omega_\Gamma = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Lambda_\Gamma$ is called the *domain of discontinuity*. Γ acts properly discontinuously on Ω , and the quotient Ω/Γ are finite union of Riemann surfaces of the finite type.

For any Kleinian group Γ , it is called *quasi-Fuchsian* if its limit set Λ lies in a Jordan curve. In this case, M is called a quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold. The *convex hull* of the

limit set Λ , denoted by $\text{Hull}(\Lambda)$, is the smallest convex subset in $\mathbb{H}^3$ whose closure in $\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ contains Λ . The quotient space $C(M) = \text{Hull}(\Lambda)/\Gamma$ is called the *convex core* of M .

Topologically, $M = S \times \mathbb{R}$. Every quasi-Fuchsian three-manifold can be constructed via Bers' simultaneous uniformization theorem ([Ber72]), with a pair of Riemann surfaces in Teichmüller space as the conformal boundaries. The correspondence between Kleinian groups and low dimensional topology is extremely rich and complex, where one can find many references in articles such as [Mar74, Thu82].

We are interested in using minimal surfaces as parameters for the quasi-Fuchsian space. Fundamental results in harmonic maps of [SU82, SY79] can be applied to various hyperbolic manifolds, in particular, quasi-Fuchsian three-manifolds. Dimension three is very special, since the branch points never occur in this case ([Gul73]). They showed there exists an immersed area minimizing incompressible surface Σ in M . If the principal curvatures of the minimal surface are small in the sense of Definition 1.1, then this minimal surface is unique, and it is an embedded incompressible surface in M ([Uhl83]). Further understanding of immersed minimal surfaces and constant mean curvature surfaces can also be found in [And82, And83] via approaches of geometric measure theory.

2.2. Geometry of Surfaces in Three Manifolds. In this subsection, we construct a family of parallel surfaces from an incompressible surface S of small curvatures in $(M, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, and collect necessary facts about this family, similar to the construction of Uhlenbeck's equidistant foliation ([Uhl83]) on an almost Fuchsian three-manifold.

Let S be an incompressible surface on the nearly almost Fuchsian manifold $(M, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$. The curvature tensor of M is given by

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -(\bar{g}_{\alpha\gamma}\bar{g}_{\beta\delta} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\delta}\bar{g}_{\beta\gamma}) ,$$

and the induced metric on S is then $g_{ij}(x) = e^{2v(x)}\delta_{ij}$, where $v(x)$ is a smooth function on S , and of the second fundamental form $A(x) = [h_{ij}]_{2 \times 2}$, here h_{ij} is given by, for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$,

$$h_{ij} = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\nu, e_j \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu e_i, e_j \rangle ,$$

where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a basis on S , and ν is the unit normal field on S , and $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $(M, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$.

Let $\lambda_1(x)$ and $\lambda_2(x)$ be the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form $A(x)$ of S . We have $|\lambda_j(x)| < 1$, for $j = 1, 2$. They are the principal curvatures of S , and we denote $H(x) = \lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)$ as the mean curvature function of S . We can construct a normal coordinate system in a collar neighborhood of S . More precisely, suppose $x = (x^1, x^2)$ is a coordinate system on S , and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ to be sufficiently small, then the (local) diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} S \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) &\rightarrow M \\ (x^1, x^2, r) &\mapsto \exp_x(r\nu) \end{aligned}$$

induces a coordinate patch in M . Let $S(r)$ be the family of parallel surfaces with respect to S , i.e.

$$S(r) = \{\exp_x(r\nu) \mid x \in S\} , \quad r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) .$$

The induced metric on $S(r)$ is denoted by $g(x, r) = g_{ij}(x, r)$, and the second fundamental form is denoted by $A(x, r) = [h_{ij}(x, r)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$. The mean curvature on $S(r)$ is thus given by $H(x, r) = g^{ij}(x, r)h_{ij}(x, r)$.

The curvature tensor $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ of $(M, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ has six components, which are not completely independent because of Bianchi identities. In the collar neighborhood of S , these components can be classified into three groups:

- (i) there are three curvature equations of the form $\bar{R}_{i3j3} = -g_{ij}$, here $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$,
- (ii) two of remaining curvature equations have the form $\bar{R}_{ijk3} = 0$, which are called the Codazzi equations, and
- (iii) the Gauss equation $\bar{R}_{1212} = -g_{11}g_{22} + g_{12}^2$.

This enables us to solve for $g(x, r)$ explicit, and the solution is essentially due to Uhlenbeck ([Uhl83]), though S is a minimal surface in her case. We collect this into the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let the isothermal metric on S be given by $e^{2v(x)}\mathbb{I}$, where $v(x)$ is a smooth function on S and $\mathbb{I}$ is the 2×2 unit matrix, and let $A(x) = [h_{ij}(x)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ be the second fundamental form of S with respect to the isothermal metric, then the induced metric $g(x, r)$ on $S(r)$ has the form*

$$(2.1) \quad g(x, r) = e^{2v(x)}[\cosh(r)\mathbb{I} + \sinh(r)e^{-2v(x)}A(x)]^2,$$

where $r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. At each point $(x, r) \in S(r)$, direct computation shows that the curvature equations $\bar{R}_{i3j3} = -g_{ij}$ has an explicit form

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial r} g^{lk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial r} = -g_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2.$$

Therefore we obtain a first order system of differential equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} h_j^i + h_k^i h_j^k = \delta_j^i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2,$$

with the initial data $h_j^i(x, 0) = e^{-2v(x)}h_{ij}(x)$, for each $x \in S$, where $h_j^i = g^{ik}h_{kj}$. Then we find

$$g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} = [\cosh r \mathbb{I} + \sinh r e^{-2v(x)} h(x)]^{-1} \\ \times 2[\sinh r \mathbb{I} + \cosh r e^{-2v(x)} h(x)].$$

Since $g(x, 0) = e^{2v(x)}\mathbb{I}$, we obtain the explicit solution as in (2.1). $\square$

A direct consequence is the following:

Corollary 2.2. *The induced metric on $S(r)$ is non-singular for small ε such that $r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. When the initial surface S has small curvatures, then $g(x, r)$ contains no singularity for all $r \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.*

It is clear that these parallel surfaces $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ form a foliation of incompressible surfaces of M , and this foliation is called the *equidistant foliation* or the *normal flow* of M . The formula (2.1) also makes it possible to calculate various curvatures on each fiber $S(r)$, which

we will proceed in what follows. These quantities are very crucial to our estimates of the mean curvatures of the CMC surfaces in M .

The following lemma is the well-known Hopf's maximum principle for tangential hypersurfaces which is a powerful tool in Riemannian geometry:

Lemma 2.3. *Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be two hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold, and intersect at a common point tangentially. If Σ_2 lies in positive side of Σ_1 around the common point, then $H_1 < H_2$, where H_i is the mean curvature of Σ_i at the common point for $i = 1, 2$.*

2.3. Volume Preserving Mean Curvature Flow. Our methods of proving main theorems involve geometric evolution equations, in particular, the volume preserving mean curvature flow developed by Huisken and others. We briefly review our particular set up in this subsection.

As before, we assume M is nearly almost Fuchsian and contains an incompressible surface S whose principal curvatures are denoted by $\lambda_1(x)$ and $\lambda_2(x)$. S is of small curvatures. Let $F_0 : S \rightarrow M$ be the immersion of S in M such that the initial surface $S_0 = F_0(S)$ is contained in the positive side of S and is a graph over S with respect to $\mathbf{n}$, i.e. $\langle \mathbf{n}, \nu \rangle \geq c_1 > 0$, here $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal vector on S and ν is the normal vector on S_0 and c_1 is a constant depending only on S_0 . For each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we choose an initial surface $S_0^r = S(r)$ to obtain a family of smoothly immersed surfaces in M :

$$F^r : S \times [0, T) \rightarrow M, \quad 0 \leq T \leq \infty$$

with $F^r(\cdot, 0) = F_0^r$.

For each $t \in [0, T)$, we write $S_t^r = \{F^r(x, t) \in M \mid x \in S\}$ as the evolving surface at time t with initial surface S_0^r . Whenever there is little confusion, we will remove the upper index r from the notation to ease the exposition.

Abusing some notations, we define some quantities and operators on the evolving surface S_t :

- the induced metric of S_t : $g = \{g_{ij}\}$;
- the second fundamental form of S_t : $A = \{h_{ij}\}$;
- the mean curvature of S_t with respect to the normal pointing to S : $H = g^{ij}h_{ij}$;
- the square norm of the second fundamental form of S_t :

$$|A|^2 = g^{ij}g^{kl}h_{ik}h_{jl} ;$$

- the covariant derivative of S_t is denoted by ∇ and the Laplacian on S_t is given by $\Delta = g^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$.

We add a bar on top for each quantity or operator with respect to $(M, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$.

We consider the family of volume preserving mean curvature flows:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^r(x, t) = (h^r(t) - H^r(x, t))\nu^r(x, t), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, (x, t) \in S \times [0, T),$$

with $F^r(\cdot, 0) = F_0^r$, where

$$h^r(t) = \frac{1}{|S_t^r|} \int_{S_t^r} H^r(x, t) d\mu$$

is the *average mean curvature* of S_t^r , and $\nu^r(\cdot, t)$ is the normal on S_t^r so that $-\nu^r$ points to the fixed reference surface S .

One easily verifies that the volume of the region bounded by the reference surface S and the evolving surfaces S_t^r is independent of t . Huisken proved the short-time existence of the solutions to the equation (1.1), and moreover, the blow-up of the square norm of the second fundamental forms if the singularity occurs in finite time.

Theorem 2.4 ([Hui87b]). *If the initial surface S_0 is smooth, then the equation (1.1) has a smooth solution on some maximal open time interval $0 \leq t < T$, where $0 < T \leq \infty$. If $T < \infty$, then*

$$|A|_{\max}(t) \equiv \max_{x \in S} |A|(x, t) \rightarrow \infty,$$

as $t \rightarrow T$.

Our major goal, in next section, is to show the *long-time existence* of the solutions to the equation (1.1) in the case of M being an almost Fuchsian three-manifold. From above theorem, an essential strategy is to obtain uniform bounds for the second fundamental form of the evolving surfaces.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

3.1. Foliation of Equidistant Surfaces. We will proceed by foliating the nearly almost Fuchsian three-manifold M by an equidistant foliation $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$, consisting of surfaces equidistant from the surface $S = S(0)$:

Theorem 3.1. *M admits two foliations which can be described as:*

- (i) *a co-dimension two totally geodesic foliation $\mathcal{G}$ such that each leaf is a bi-infinite geodesic perpendicular to S ;*
- (ii) *a co-dimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ including S as a leaf, such that each leaf $S(r)$ is a parallel surface which has small curvatures.*

Proof. We import our notation from §2.2: The isothermal metric on S is given by $e^{2v(x)}\mathbb{I}$, and $A(x) = [h_{ij}(x)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ is the second fundamental form of S , defined by $h_{ij} = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu e_i, e_j \rangle$, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the basis on S , and ν is the normal vector field on S . By (2.1), the induced metric on $S(r)$ is

$$g(x, r) = e^{2v(x)} [\cosh r \mathbb{I} + \sinh r e^{-2v(x)} A(x)]^2.$$

Since we have $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (-1, 1)$, then an elementary calculation shows that

$$1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \tanh r + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \tanh^2(r) > 0, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F} = \{S(r) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the co-dimension one foliation of M .

For each $x \in S$, the map $\gamma_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ defined by $r \mapsto \exp_x r \nu(x)$ is a bi-infinite geodesic in M , and $\mathcal{G} = \{\gamma_x(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \in S\}$ is the codimension two totally geodesic foliation.

The second fundamental form $A(x, r) = [h_{ij}(x, r)]$ of $S(r)$ with respect to the induced metric $g(x, r)$ is

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A(x, r) = & e^{2v(x)} [\cosh(r) \mathbb{I} + \sinh(r) e^{-2v(x)} A(x)] \\ & \times [\sinh(r) \mathbb{I} + \cosh(r) e^{-2v(x)} A(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the principal curvatures of $S(r)$ by $\mu_1(x, r)$ and $\mu_2(x, r)$ as before. They are the solutions of the equation $\det[h_{ij} - \mu g_{ij}] = 0$, which is equivalent to the following characteristic equation:

$$\det[(\tanh(r)\mathbb{I} + e^{-2v(x)}A(x)) - \mu(\mathbb{I} + \tanh(r)e^{-2v(x)}A(x))] = 0 .$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Tr}(e^{-2v}A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\det[e^{-2v}A] = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, and the characteristic equation has the following form

$$\left(\frac{\tanh(r) - \mu}{1 - \mu \tanh(r)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tanh(r) - \mu}{1 - \mu \tanh(r)}\right)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \lambda_1\lambda_2 = 0 ,$$

whose solutions are

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_j(x, r) = \frac{\tanh r + \lambda_j(x)}{1 + \lambda_j(x) \tanh r} , \quad j = 1, 2 .$$

Since $|\lambda_j| < 1$, then $|\mu_j(\cdot, r)| < 1$ for all $r \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $j = 1, 2$. Each $S(r)$ has small curvatures in the sense of Definition 1.1. $\square$

Now we have the equidistant foliation $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ on M , with $S(0) = S$, and with principal curvatures $\mu_j(x, r)$ expressed as in formula (3.2). It is then routine to verify:

Proposition 3.2. (i) *The principal curvature of $S(r)$ is given by*

$$(3.3) \quad H(x, r) = \frac{2(1 + \lambda_1\lambda_2) \tanh(r) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(1 + \tanh^2(r))}{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \tanh(r) + \lambda_1\lambda_2 \tanh^2(r)} ,$$

and the average mean curvature of $S(r)$ is given by

$$(3.4) \quad h(S(r)) = \frac{2(1 + \kappa_0) \tanh(r) + h_0(1 + \tanh^2(r))}{1 + h_0 \tanh(r) + \kappa_0 \tanh^2(r)} ,$$

here h_0 is the average mean curvature of S and κ_0 is the average exterior curvature of S , i.e.

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S \lambda_1 \lambda_2 d\mu .$$

(ii) *Moreover, the principal curvatures $\mu_j(x, r)$ and mean curvatures $H(x, r)$ for the fiber $S(r)$ satisfy the following:*

- (a) *For fixed $x \in S$, $\mu_j(x, r)$ is an increasing function of r . Moreover, $\mu_j(\cdot, r) \rightarrow \pm 1$ as $r \rightarrow \pm\infty$;*
- (b) *For fixed $x \in S$, $H(x, r)$ is an increasing function of r . Moreover, $H(\cdot, r) \rightarrow \pm 2$ as $r \rightarrow \pm\infty$.*

We also note that, among the fibers $S(r)$, there is one fiber of the least area with the induced metric:

Proposition 3.3. *Among all parallel surfaces, the one with average mean curvature zero is the one of the least surface area.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that the average mean curvature of $S(0)$ is zero. The area element $d\mu(r)$ of $S(r)$ is

$$d\mu(r) = (\cosh^2(r) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \sinh(r) \cosh(r) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sinh^2(r)) d\mu ,$$

here $d\mu$ is the area element of the surface S . Therefore the area of $S(r)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} |S(r)| &= \int_S (\cosh^2(r) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \sinh r \cosh(r) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sinh^2(r)) d\mu \\ &= \int_S (\cosh^2(r) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sinh^2(r)) d\mu . \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the r -derivative of $|S(r)|$ to find

$$\frac{d}{dr} |S(r)| = 2(\sinh(r) \cosh(r)) \int_S (1 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2) d\mu .$$

Since $1 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$, the area $|S(r)|$ is an increasing function when $r > 0$, while a decreasing function when $r < 0$. $\square$

It is a simple application of the maximum principle (Lemma 2.3) and $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ being the equidistant foliation of M with all parallel surfaces have small curvatures, that any CMC surface of M with mean curvature c satisfies that $|c| < 2$.

3.2. Bounding evolving surfaces. We fix $r > 0$ for the fiber $S(r)$ for now, let $F_0^r : S \rightarrow M$ be a smooth embedding such that $F_0^r(S) = S(r)$, and let $F^r : S \times [0, T] \rightarrow M$ be a family of smooth surfaces. Recall from §2.3 the volume preserving mean curvature flow equation is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^r(x, t) = [h^r(t) - H^r(x, t)] \nu^r(x, t) , & x \in S , 0 \leq t < T , \\ F^r(\cdot, 0) = F_0^r , \end{cases}$$

where $\nu^r(\cdot, t)$ is the normal vector on the surfaces $S_t(r) = F^r(\cdot, t)(S)$, $h^r(t)$ is the average mean curvature of $S_t(r)$. Under the flow we can find evolution equations for many geometrical quantities on $S_t(r)$ such as the metric $g_{ij}(\cdot, t)$, the second fundamental form $h_{ij}(\cdot, t)$, the normal vector field $\nu(\cdot, t)$, the mean curvature $H(\cdot, t)$ and the squared norm $|A(\cdot, t)|^2$, etc. In what follows we removed up-index r in the formulas for convenience. We list two such evolution equations, which will be standard to use to prove results using mean curvature flows.

Lemma 3.4 ([Hui86]). *The evolution equations for H and $|A|^2$ are of the forms*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = \Delta H + (H - h)(|A|^2 - 2)$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |A|^2 = \Delta |A|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^4 + 4(|A|^2 - H^2) - 2h \operatorname{Tr}(A^3) + 2hH,$$

where Δ and ∇ are the Laplacian and the gradient operators on evolving surface S_t , respectively.

Let $\ell(p) = \pm \text{dist}(p, S)$ for all $p \in M$, where $\pm \text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ means if p is above S then we choose $+$ sign, otherwise $-$ sign: this is a signed distance function. Then we define the height function $u(\cdot, t)$ and the gradient function $\Theta(\cdot, t)$ on S_t :

$$(3.7) \quad u(x, t) = \ell(F(x, t))$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Theta(x, t) = \langle \nu(x, t), \mathbf{n} \rangle,$$

for all $(x, t) \in S \times [0, T_{\max})$. Here $T_{\max}$ is the right endpoint of the maximal time interval on which the solution to (1.1) exists. It is easy to see that the surface S_t is a graph over S if $\Theta(\cdot, t) > 0$ on S_t .

The evolution equations for u and Θ have the following forms ([Bar84, EH91]):

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (h - H)\Theta = \Delta u - \text{div}(\bar{\nabla} \ell) + h\Theta,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} = \Delta \Theta + (|A|^2 - 2)\Theta + \mathbf{n}(H) + (h - H)\langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu \mathbf{n}, \nu \rangle,$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the gradient operator with respect to the hyperbolic metric, div is the divergence on S_t , and $\mathbf{n}(H)$ is the variation of mean curvature function of S_t under the deformation vector field $\mathbf{n}$.

Now we assume that $T_{\max} < \infty$. We need the following rough estimate for the height function:

Lemma 3.5. *If $\Theta(\cdot, t) > 0$, then the height function $u(\cdot, t)$ for the evolving surface S_t is uniformly bounded for $t \in [0, T_{\max})$.*

The condition $\Theta(\cdot, t) > 0$ will be removed since it's proven to hold in the proof of Theorem 3.7.

Proof. At each $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, let $x(t) \in S_t$ and $y(t) \in S_t$ be the furtherest and closest points from S , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\max}(t) &= \max_{x \in S_t} u(x, t) = u(x(t), t), \\ u_{\min}(t) &= \min_{y \in S_t} u(y, t) = u(y(t), t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta(x, t) > 0$ for all $(x, t) \in S \times [0, T_{\max})$, together with (3.9), one can see that the part of S_t with $H > h$ moves along the negative direction of $\mathbf{n}$ and the part of S_t with $H < h$ moves along the positive direction of $\mathbf{n}$. Therefore we can assume that $u_{\max}(t)$ is increasing and $u_{\min}(t)$ is decreasing.

As $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$, we have the following five cases:

- (i) $u_{\min}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ and $u_{\max}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$;
- (ii) $u_{\min}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $u_{\max}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (iii) $u_{\min}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ and $u_{\max}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (iv) $u_{\min}(t)$ is bounded, but $u_{\max}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (v) $u_{\min}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$, but $u_{\max}(t)$ is bounded.

Case (i) and (ii) could not happen, since the flow is volume preserving.

For Case (iii), since $\Theta = \langle \mathbf{n}, \nu \rangle = 1$ at $F(x(t), t)$, we have

$$h - H = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \geq 0.$$

Since $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a foliation on M , there are two fibers $S(r_1)$ and $S(r_2)$ with $r_1 < r_2$ such that they are tangent to the surface S_t . By Hopf's maximum principle (see Lemma 2.3), we have

$$h(t) \geq H(x(t), t) \geq \min_{S(r_2)} H,$$

Similarly, at the point $F(y(t), t)$, we have

$$h(t) \leq H(y(t), t) \leq \max_{S(r_1)} H.$$

Therefore, we have the inequality

$$(3.11) \quad \min_{S(r_2)} H \leq h(t) \leq \max_{S(r_1)} H.$$

If $u_{\min}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ and $u_{\max}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, then we would get $2 \leq -2$, a contradiction.

Similarly, Case (iv) and Case (v) could not happen. $\square$

This lemma bounds the evolving surfaces $S_t(x, r)$ by two parallel surfaces $S(r_1)$ and $S(r_2)$, whose various curvatures can be explicitly calculated. We will refine this estimate later for further applications.

The proof of this lemma also implies:

Corollary 3.6. *For large enough $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, the average mean curvature of S_t satisfies $h(t) \in (-2, 2)$.*

3.3. Long Time Existence. With Lemma 3.5, we can bound derivatives of the square norm of the second fundamental form $A_t(x)$, which will in turn prove the long-time existence for (1.1):

Theorem 3.7. *The volume preserving mean curvature flow (1.1) has a long time solution, i.e., $T_{\max} = +\infty$. Moreover, each evolving surface S_t is a graph over the reference surface S , hence embedded in M .*

Proof. Our strategy is to prove by contradiction. If $T_{\max} < +\infty$, then we show the flow can be extended beyond the first singular time.

The induced metric on S_t can be written in terms of the height function $u(\cdot, t)$ and its derivatives, so the differential equation

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(\bar{\nabla} \ell) + h\Theta \\ u(0) = r, \end{cases}$$

is a quasi-linear parabolic equation.

By Lemma 3.5 $u(\cdot, t)$ is uniformly bounded for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$. We write

$$\Theta_{\min}(t) = \min_{x \in S} \Theta(x, t).$$

By [Bar84, EH91], we have the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{n}(H)| &\leq C_1(\Theta^3 + \Theta^2|A|) \\ |\langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu \mathbf{n}, \nu \rangle| &\leq C_2\Theta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants depending only on the initial surface and the maximal time $T_{\max}$.

From Corollary 3.6, $|h(t)| \leq 2$ and $\Theta(\cdot, 0) \equiv 1$, we have the following:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Theta_{\min} \geq (|A|^2 - 2)\Theta_{\min} - C_1(\Theta_{\min}^3 + |A|\Theta_{\min}^2) - C_2(2 + |H|)\Theta_{\min}^2.$$

Since $|A|^2 \geq H^2/2$ and $|\Theta| \leq 1$, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Theta_{\min} &\geq \left((|A|^2 - 2) - C_1(1 + |A|) - C_2(2 + \sqrt{2}|A|) \right) \Theta_{\min} \\ &= \left(|A|^2 - (C_1 + C_2\sqrt{2})|A| - (2 + C_1 + 2C_2) \right) \Theta_{\min} \\ &\geq - \left(\frac{(C_1 + C_2\sqrt{2})^2}{4} + 2 + C_1 + 2C_2 \right) \Theta_{\min}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta_{\min}(0) = 1$ by assumption, we have

$$\Theta_{\min}(t) \geq \exp(-C_3 t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\max}],$$

where C_3 is given by

$$C_3 = \frac{(C_1 + C_2\sqrt{2})^2}{4} + 2 + C_1 + 2C_2.$$

This implies that the gradient function Θ is uniformly bounded from below by a constant

$$\Theta_0 = \exp(-C_3 T_{\max}) > 0.$$

Therefore evolving surfaces remain as graphs over the reference surface S along the flow (1.1). Moreover, since $\Theta = 1/\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}$ ([Hui86]), we know that $|\nabla u|$ is uniformly bounded from above by a constant depending only on the initial data and the maximal time $T_{\max}$.

Since the equation (3.12) is quasi-linear parabolic, the standard regularity results in quasilinear second order parabolic equations ([LSU67, Lie96]) state that

$$|\nabla^\ell u| \leq K_\ell < \infty,$$

for all $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\{K_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ is the collection of constants depending only on ℓ , the initial data and the maximal time $T_{\max}$. In particular, $|\nabla \Theta|^2 \leq C_4 < \infty$, where C_4 is a constant depending again only on the initial data and the maximal time $T_{\max}$.

The evolution equation (3.6) for $|A|^2$ is very complicated, not suitable for direct calculation. To absorb positive terms from the right handside of (3.6), for some small $\sigma > 0$, we

define $f_\sigma = |A|^2/\Theta^{2+\sigma}$ and calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial f_\sigma}{\partial t} &= \Delta f_\sigma + \frac{2(2+\sigma)}{\Theta} \langle \nabla f_\sigma, \nabla \Theta \rangle - \frac{2}{\Theta^{2+\sigma}} |\nabla A|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{(1+\sigma)(2+\sigma)|A|^2}{\Theta^{4+\sigma}} |\nabla \Theta|^2 \\
 (3.13) \quad &+ \frac{1}{\Theta^{2+\sigma}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &-\sigma|A|^2(|A|^2 - 2) - 2h \operatorname{Tr} A^3 + 8|A|^2 \\ &+ 2H(h - 2H) - \frac{(2+\sigma)|A|^2}{\Theta} \mathbf{n}(H) \\ &+ \frac{(2+\sigma)|A|^2(h-H)}{\Theta} \langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu \mathbf{n}, \nu \rangle \end{aligned} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we assume $|A(\cdot, t)|^2$ is not uniformly bounded, then $|A|_{\max}^2(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$, which forces $f_{\sigma_{\max}}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$. Since $-\sigma C_4^{2+\sigma} f_\sigma^2$ dominates the evolution equation (3.13), we obtain that f_σ satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{df_{\sigma_{\max}}}{dt} \leq -\sigma C_4^{2+\sigma} f_{\sigma_{\max}}^2,$$

for some positive constant C_4 . Therefore, f_σ is uniformly bounded. This contradiction shows $|A(\cdot, t)|^2$ is uniformly bounded.

We can then proceed as the Theorem 4.1 in [Hui87b] to obtain uniform bounds for the derivatives $|\nabla^m A|^2$, for all $m \geq 1$.

If $T = T_{\max} < \infty$, the limit

$$S_T(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T} S_t(r)$$

is well defined because of Lemma 3.5, while uniform bounds on the square norm of the second fundamental form and its derivatives imply that $S_T(r)$ is a smooth surface ([Ham82]). Therefore we can consider a new volume preserving mean curvature flow with new initial surface $S_T(r)$, and it is standard (see for instance Chapter 6 of [CK04]) that this new flow has a short-time solution, which contradicts the assumption that T is maximal. This implies $T_{\max} = \infty$, long-time existence of the solution. $\square$

Theorem 3.7 implies the parts (i) and (ii) of the Theorem 1.2.

3.4. Limiting Behavior. In this subsection, we investigate the limiting behavior of the flow, and obtain a limiting surface of constant mean curvature applying the theorem of Ascoli-Azela. Part (iii) of the Theorem 1.2 is an implication of the following more technical theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *The mean curvatures of S_t approach the average mean curvature:*

$$(3.14) \quad \sup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |H(\cdot, t) - h(t)| = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, surfaces $\{S_t\}$ are bounded in a compact region, hence the area $|S_t|$ is uniformly bounded in t . It is easy to see that the area of S_t is decreasing along the flow:

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} |S_t| = - \int_{S_t} (H(\cdot, t) - h(t))^2 d\mu.$$

Therefore the integral

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu dt < |S(0)| < \infty,$$

and we find that the integral $\int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu$ is uniformly bounded.

We use the identity $\int_{S_t} (H(\cdot, t) - h(t)) d\mu = 0$, and (3.5), to compute the t -derivative of above integral:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu &= \int_{S_t} 2(H - h)(H_t - h_t) - H(H - h)^3 d\mu \\ &= \int_{S_t} -2|\nabla H|^2 + 2(H - h)^2(|A|^2 - 2) d\mu + \int_{S_t} -H(H - h)^3 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute value is easily seen to be bounded from above by the uniform bounds for $|A(\cdot, t)|^2$, $H(\cdot, t)$ as well as their derivatives, and the uniform bound for $\int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu = 0.$$

So we obtain the L^2 estimate:

$$\sup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|H(\cdot, t) - h(t)\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

This L^2 -estimate in conjunction with uniform bound on $|\nabla(H - h(t))|$ allow us to apply the standard interpolation argument to show the L^∞ bound, i.e., (3.14). $\square$

Proof. (of (iii) of Theorem 1.2) Now we can denote

$$(3.18) \quad S_\infty(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t(r)$$

as a limiting surface of the flow. It is well defined since $\{S_t\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ are contained in a bounded domain of M (Lemma 3.5), and uniform bounds on $|A(\cdot, t)|^2$, $H(\cdot, t)$ and their derivatives enable us to apply the Theorem of Ascoli-Azela to obtain a subsequence of evolving surfaces which converges smoothly to a limiting surface. Therefore $S_\infty(r)$ is a smooth surface. This also implies that $|\nabla u|$ must be bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so Θ must have a positive lower bound as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $S_\infty(r)$ is a graph over the reference surface S .

The limiting surface $S_\infty(r)$ is of constant mean curvature by the Theorem 3.8. The constant is clearly a function of r . $\square$

We call a CMC surface Σ is *strictly stable* if the stability operator on Σ

$$(3.19) \quad L = -\Delta - (|A|^2 - 2)$$

has only strictly positive eigenvalues when restricted to the space of functions ϕ with $\int_\Sigma \phi d\mu = 0$.

Proposition 3.9. *If any limiting surface $S_\infty(r)$ is strictly stable, then the convergence of the flow (1.1) with initial surface $S(r)$ is exponential and $S_\infty(r)$ is the only limiting surface.*

Proof. Let λ_∞ be the lowest eigenvalue of the stability operator L on the surface S_∞ , and it is positive by our assumption.

Let λ_t be the lowest eigenvalue of the stability operator on the evolving surface S_t , and by Theorem 3.8, when t is large enough such that for any ϵ we have both

$$|\lambda_t - \lambda_\infty| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{S_t} |H(H - h)| < \epsilon.$$

Then we proceed from (3.17) to find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu \leq -(2\lambda_\infty - 3\epsilon) \int_{S_t} (H - h(t))^2 d\mu,$$

which implies the exponential convergence, and unique limit. $\square$

The unique limit is a consequence of the assumption on the stability of the surface. Therefore this proposition is about the behavior of the convergence. We do expect all limiting surfaces, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, are strictly stable.

4. MEAN CURVATURE ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove the part (iv) of the Theorem 1.2. These mean curvature estimates are considered applications of our method, and most of them will be quite difficult to generalize to higher dimensions.

4.1. First Estimate. CMC surfaces (including minimal surfaces) are classical topics in differential geometry. In hyperbolic geometry, it is a consequence of Gauss-Bonnet theorem and Schwarz inequality that if Σ be a closed CMC surface of genus $g > 2$ and mean curvature c in a hyperbolic manifold N , then $(1 - \frac{c^2}{4})|\Sigma| \leq 2\pi(2g - 2)$. In our setting of M being nearly almost Fuchsian, we have a rough estimate $|c| < 2$.

In our setting, we show the following which is essentially the part (iv) of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 4.1. *If M is nearly almost Fuchsian, i.e., M contains an incompressible surface S with principal curvatures in the range $(-1, 1)$, and $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the equidistant foliation with $S(r)$ hyperbolic distance r from the reference surface $S = S(0)$. Let $S_\infty(r)$ be a limiting surface of the equation (1.1) with $S(r)$ as the initial surface, and its constant mean curvature is $c(r)$, then*

$$-2 < -2|\tanh(r - \beta)| \leq c(r) \leq 2|\tanh(r + \beta)| < 2,$$

for some constant β only depending on the reference surface S .

Proof. We re-visit part of the proof of Lemma 3.5. We assume $r > 0$, and choose the reference surface $S(0)$ far enough such that when S_t is being tangent by parallel surfaces $S(r_1)$ and $S(r_2)$, we have $r < r_1 < r_2$. This is made possible since $\{S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the equidistant foliation of M , and the flow (1.1) is volume preserving.

By the maximum principle (Lemma 2.3), we have, at the point p_2 of the intersection,

$$h(t) \geq H(S(r_2), p_2),$$

where $H(S(r_2), p_2)$ can be explicitly computed according to (3.2):

$$(4.1) \quad h(t) \geq H(S(r_2), p_2) = \frac{\tanh(r_2) + \lambda_1(p_2)}{1 + \lambda_1(p_2) \tanh(r_2)} + \frac{\tanh(r_2) + \lambda_2(p_2)}{1 + \lambda_2(p_2) \tanh(r_2)},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are principal curvatures of the reference surface S .

Since S is closed, there is a positive constant

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha = \max_S \{|\lambda_j(x)| : j = 1, 2, x \in S\}.$$

And since S has small curvatures by assumption, we have $0 < \alpha < 1$. We define

$$(4.3) \quad \beta = \tanh^{-1}(\alpha).$$

Clearly, this is a positive constant, only depending on S .

It is easy to verify that the function $f(x, r) = \frac{\tanh(r) + x}{1 + x \tanh(r)}$ is increasing with respect to $x \in (-1, 1)$ or $r \in \mathbb{R}$, fixing the other variable. Therefore,

$$(4.4) \quad h(t) \geq H(S(r_2), p_2) \geq 2 \frac{\tanh(r_2) - \alpha}{1 - \alpha \tanh(r_2)} = 2 \tanh(r_2 - \beta) \geq 2 \tanh(r - \beta).$$

Similarly, since $S(r_1)$ is tangent to S_t from the other side, at the point p_1 , we have

$$(4.5) \quad h(t) \leq H(S(r_1), p_1) \leq 2 \frac{\tanh(r_1) + \alpha}{1 + \alpha \tanh(r_1)} = 2 \tanh(r_1 + \beta) \leq 2 \tanh(r + \beta).$$

□

4.2. Close to Infinities. In this subsection, we consider these volume preserving mean curvature flow all at once: in space direction, we have $r \in \mathbb{R}$, while in time direction, we have $t \in [0, \infty)$. Note that at time $t = 0$, we have the equidistant foliation $\{S_0(r) = S(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$. We provide a finer estimate on the height function $u(\cdot, t)$, especially for $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. *If the height function for the r -th volume preserving mean curvature flow is given by $u(\cdot, t, r)$, then there is a positive constant β , only depending on S such that*

$$(4.6) \quad r - 2\beta \leq u(\cdot, t, r) \leq r + 2\beta,$$

Proof. We use notations from the proof of Theorem 4.1. Constants α and β are given as in formulas (4.2) and (4.3), and parallel surfaces $S(r_1)$ and $S(r_2)$ are tangent to the evolving surface S_t , with $r_1 < r_2$. With this set-up, we see that $r_1 < r < r_2$ since the flow is volume preserving. Moreover, we have

$$\max_{S_t}(u(\cdot, t, r)) = r_2 \text{ and } \min_{S_t}(u(\cdot, t, r)) = r_1.$$

Now we apply inequalities (4.4) and (4.5) to find that

$$\tanh(r_2 - \beta) \leq \tanh(r_1 + \beta),$$

which implies $r_2 - r_1 \leq 2\beta$ and hence (4.6). □

Therefore, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, the height function goes to infinity. Combining with estimates in (4.4) and (4.5), we have

Corollary 4.3. *There are closed, incompressible, embedded CMC surfaces arbitrarily close to both conformal infinities, with mean curvatures arbitrarily close to ± 2 .*

In the opposite direction, we, in [HW10], for any constant c in $(-2, 2)$, can construct a closed, embedded incompressible surface of constant mean curvature equal to c .

REFERENCES

- [And82] Michael T. Anderson, *Complete minimal varieties in hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 3, 477–494.
- [And83] ———, *Complete minimal hypersurfaces in hyperbolic n -manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), no. 2, 264–290.
- [And02] Ben Andrews, *Positively curved surfaces in the three-sphere*, Proc. of the ICM **2** (2002), 221–230.
- [Bar84] Robert Bartnik, *Existence of maximal surfaces in asymptotically flat spacetimes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), no. 2, 155–175.
- [Ber72] Lipman Bers, *Uniformization, moduli, and Kleinian groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 257–300.
- [CK04] Bennett Chow and Dan Knopf, *The Ricci flow: an introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [CRM07] Esther Cabezas-Rivas and Vicente Miquel, *Volume preserving mean curvature flow in the hyperbolic space*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 5, 2061–2086.
- [EH89] Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken, *Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs*, Ann. of Math. (2) **130** (1989), no. 3, 453–471.
- [EH91] ———, *Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **135** (1991), no. 3, 595–613.
- [Gul73] Robert Gulliver, *Regularity of minimizing surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), no. 2, 275–305.
- [Ham82] Richard Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 255–306.
- [Hui86] Gerhard Huisken, *Contracting convex hypersurfaces in riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 463–480.
- [Hui87a] ———, *Deforming hypersurfaces of the sphere by their mean curvature*, Math. Z. **195** (1987), no. 2, 205–219.
- [Hui87b] ———, *The volume preserving mean curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **382** (1987), 35–48.
- [HW09] Zheng Huang and Biao Wang, *Mean curvature flows in almost fuchsian manifolds*, preprint, arXiv:1001.4217 (2009).
- [HW10] ———, *Surfaces of prescribed mean curvature in quasi-fuchsian manifolds*, preprint (2010).
- [HY96] Gerhard Huisken and Shing-Tung Yau, *Definition of center of mass for isolated physical systems and unique foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 281–311.
- [KS07] Kirill Krasnov and Jean-Marc Schlenker, *Minimal surfaces and particles in 3-manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **126** (2007), 187–254.
- [Lie96] Gary M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [LSU67] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Uralceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [Mar74] Albert Marden, *The geometry of finitely generated kleinian groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **99** (1974), no. 2, 383–462.
- [MP07] Rafe Mazzeo and Frank Pacard, *Constant curvature foliations on asymptotically hyperbolic spaces*, 2007, arXiv.org:0710.2298.
- [NT06] André Neves and Gang Tian, *Existence and uniqueness of constant mean curvature foliation of asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, 2006, arXiv:0610767.

- [NT07] ———, *Existence and uniqueness of constant mean curvature foliation of asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds ii*, 2007, [arXiv:0711.4331](#).
- [Rig04] Ralf Rigger, *The foliation of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds by surfaces of constant mean curvature (including the evolution equations and estimates)*, Manuscripta Math. **113** (2004), no. 4, 403–421.
- [Rub05] J. Hyam Rubinstein, *Minimal surfaces in geometric 3-manifolds*, Global theory of minimal surfaces, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 725–746.
- [Rub07] J. H. Rubinstein, *Problems around 3-manifolds*, Workshop on Heegaard Splittings, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 12, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2007, pp. 285–298.
- [SU82] J. Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck, *Minimal immersions of closed Riemann surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), no. 2, 639–652.
- [SY79] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 1, 127–142.
- [Tau04] Clifford Henry Taubes, *Minimal surfaces in germs of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Proceedings of the Casson Fest, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 7, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2004, pp. 69–100 (electronic).
- [Thu82] William P. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982, Princeton University Lecture Notes.
- [Uhl83] Karen K. Uhlenbeck, *Closed minimal surfaces in hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Seminar on minimal submanifolds, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 103, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983, pp. 147–168.
- [Unt03] Philip Unterberger, *Evolution of radial graphs in hyperbolic space by their mean curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), no. 4, 675–695.
- [Wan08] Biao Wang, *Foliations for quasi-Fuchsian 3-manifolds*, preprint (2008).
- [Wan09] ———, *Foliations for quasi-Fuchsian 3-manifolds*, thesis, Cornell University (2009).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10314, USA.

E-mail address: zheng.huang@csi.cuny.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OH 43606, USA.

E-mail address: biao.wang@utoledo.edu